UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	EASTERN	District of	MICHIGAN
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING SRV HEARING
	Kenneth Williams	Case Number	
	Defendant		
	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 e the detention of the defendant pending	trial in this case.	g has been held. I conclude that the following facts
— (1) The defendant is showed with an effective	Part I—Findings of Fact	has been associated of a D fodewal offense D state
☐ (1	or local offense that would have been a fee ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U ☐ an offense for which the maximum se	deral offense if a circumstance giving ris J.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	has been convicted of a federal offense state se to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is s prescribed in
	a falony that was committed after the	defendant had been convicted of two or	more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st		more prior rederar offenses described in 18 0.5.c.
			elease pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. release of the defendant from imprisonment
<u> </u>	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
☐ (I	for which a maximum term of impriso		d in
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
<u> </u>		ed and the safety of the community.	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
. (1		Alternative Findings (B)	
	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
□ (2	Defendant has not responded since August, 2004 - Still in Ypsilanti - Positive drug screen		
		August, 2001 Smill Poliana	Contro arag corocii
-			
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention			
Ιf	ind that the credible testimony and information		
	e of the evidence that	on succession at the noming establishes of	y cross and convincing evidence a propon
			al charges - though positive urine today.
Some	allusion to U. S. Marshals trying to fir	nd him, but he is still at the same h	nouse as at time of sentence.
separa be affo an atto	ne defendant is committed to the custody of the to the extent practicable, from persons orded a reasonable opportunity for private	awaiting or serving sentences or being consultation with defense counsel. On harge of the corrections facility shall of	ention representative for confinement in a corrections facility g held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall n order of a court of the United States or on request of deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for
		s/ Virginia M. Morgan	to the second se
	Date		ignature of Judge
	<u>-</u>	VIRGINIA M. MORGAN, UNITED ST Name	e and Title of Judge

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).